	Case 3:12-cv-01465-BEN-BGS Do	cument 13	Filed 07/30/12	Page 1 of 5							
1	E. JOSEPH CONNAUGHTON (SBN 16 JEFFREY P. AMES (SBN 234871)	56765)									
2	DANIELLE M. BLACKHALL (SBN 251555) PAUL, PLEVIN, SULLIVAN & CONNAUGHTON LLP										
3	401 B Street, Tenth Floor San Diego, California 92101-4232										
4	Telephone: 619-237-5200 Facsimile: 619-615-0700										
5	jconnaughton@paulplevin.com										
6	KEVIN M. FLOWERS, PH.D. MARK H. IZRAELEWICZ JOHN R. LABBE CULLEN N. PENDLETON, PH.D. AMANDA K. ANTONS, PH.D. MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP										
7											
8											
9	233 South Wacker Drive										
10	6300 Willis Tower Chicago, IL 60606-6357 (312) 474-6300										
11	kflowers@marshallip.com										
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ILLUMINA, INC. and ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD.										
13											
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT										
15	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA										
16	ILLUMINA, INC. and ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD.,	CASE	CASE NO. 3:12-cv-01465-BEN-BGS								
17 18	Plaintiffs,	COU	PLAINTIFFS' ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF COMPLETE GENOMICS, INC.  JURY TRIAL DEMANDED								
	v.										
19	COMPLETE GENOMICS, INC., and	JUK)									
20	DOES 1-50, inclusive		room:	3							
21	Defendants.		strate Judge:	Hon. Roger T. Benitez Hon. Bernard G. Skomal							
22		Trial	Date:	Not set							
23	701 2 100 111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1										
24	Plaintiffs Illumina, Inc. and Illumina Cambridge Ltd. (collectively "Illumina"), through										
25	1 1 1 1	their undersigned counsel, hereby answer the Counterclaims of Complete Genomics, Inc.									
26	("Complete Genomics") dated July 9, 20	12, as follows	<b>:</b>								
27											
28											
N,		1		3·12-cv-01465_RENLRGS							

PAUL, PLEVIN, SULLIVAN & CONNAUGHTON LLP

1		THE PARTIES			
2	1.	Admitted.			
3	2.	Admitted.			
4	3.	Admitted that Illumina Cambridge Ltd. is a corporation organized under the laws			
5	of the United Kingdom with its principal place of business at Chesterford Research Park, Little				
6	Chesterford, Nr Saffron Walden, Essex CB10 1XL, UK.				
7	JURISDICTION AND VENUE				
8	4.	Admitted.			
9	5.	Admitted.			
10	6.	Admitted.			
11		COUNT I			
12	(Declaratory Judgment Regarding Non-Infringement of United States Patent No. 8,192,930)				
13	7.	Illumina repeats and realleges its responses to the allegations in paragraphs 1-6 as			
14	if fully restated herein.				
15	8.	Admitted.			
16	9.	Illumina admits that the Court has jurisdiction to declare whether the '930 patent is			
17	infringed by Complete Genomics and denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 9.				
18	10.	Illumina denies the allegations of paragraph 10, including the allegations adopted			
19	by reference in paragraphs 23-28 and 30-34 of Complete Genomics's Answer, except as indicated				
20	otherwise be	low:			
21		23. Denied.			
22		24. Denied.			
23		25. Denied.			
24		26. Denied.			
25		27. Denied.			
26	is.	28. Denied.			
27		30. Denied.			
28		31. Denied.			
N,	AMEWED TO C	OUNTERCLAIMS 2 3:12-cv-01465-BEN-BGS			

PAUL, PLEVIN, SULLIVAN & CONNAUGHTON LLP

1		32.	Admitted that Complete Genomics utilizes "DNA nanoballs." Otherwise	
2			denied.	
3		33.	Denied.	
4		34.	Admitted that Complete Genomics utilizes a sequencing by ligation	
5			methodology. Otherwise denied.	
6			COUNT II	
7	(Declaratory Judgment Regarding Invalidity of United States Patent No. 8,192,930)			
8	11. Illumina repeats and realleges its responses to the allegations in paragraphs 1-10 a			
9	if fully restated herein.			
10	12.	Adm	nitted.	
11	13.	Illun	nina admits that the Court has jurisdiction to declare whether the '930 patent is	
12	invalid and d	enies tl	he remaining allegations of paragraph 13.	
13	14.	Illum	nina denies the allegations of paragraph 14, including the allegations adopted	
14	by reference	in para	graphs 35-44 of Complete Genomics's Answer, except as indicated otherwise	
15	below:			
16		35.	Denied.	
17		36.	Denied.	
18		37.	Denied.	
19		38.	Admitted that the quoted language appears in the '930 patent. Otherwise	
20	·	,	denied.	
21		39.	Admitted that the quoted language appears in the '930 patent. Otherwise	
22			denied.	
23		40.	Denied.	
24		41.	Denied.	
25		42.	Denied.	
26		43.	Denied.	
27		44.	Denied.	
28				
١, '			2 2.12 av 01465 DEN DOG	

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
2	Illumina denies that Complete Genomics is entitled to any relief whatsoever, either as				
3	prayed for in its Counterclaims or otherwise.				
4	JURY DEMAND				
5	Illumina hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues and claims so triable.				
6					
7	Dated: July 30, 2012	PAUL, PLEVIN, SULLIVAN & CONNAUGHTON LLP			
8					
9		By: <u>/s/ E. Joseph Connaughton</u> E. JOSEPH CONNAUGHTON			
10		JEFFREY P. AMES DANIELLE M. BLACKHALL			
11		Attorneys for Plaintiffs ILLUMINA, INC. and ILLUMINA			
12		CAMBRIDGE LTD.			
13	·				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19	3				
20		4			
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
PAUL, PLEVIN, SULLIVAN & CONNAUGHTON LLP	ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS	4 3:12-cv-01465-BEN-BGS			

1	Illumina, et al. v. Complete Genomics, Inc. USDC Southern District Case No. 3:12-cv-01465-BEN-BGS				
2	PROOF OF SERVICE				
3	I, the undersigned, hereby declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party				
5	to this action. I am employed, or am a resident of, the County of San Diego, California, and my business address is: Paul, Plevin, Sullivan & Connaughton LLP, 401 B Street, Tenth Floor, San Diego, California 92101.				
6	On July 30, 2012, I caused to be served the following document(s):				
7	• PLAINTIFFS' ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF COMPLETE GENOMICS, INC.; JURY TRIAL DEMANDED				
. 8	on the interested party (ies) in this action by placing a true copy thereof and addressed as follows:				
9	Michael J. Malecek				
10	Sean Boyle Marisa Armanino Williams				
11	KAYE SCHOLER LLP Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 400				
12	3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306				
13	Telephone: (650) 319-4500 Facsimile: (650) 319-4700				
14	E-Mail: michael.malecek@kayescholer.com Attorneys for Complete Genomics, Inc.				
15	(By ELECTRONIC SERVICE VIA CM/ECF SYSTEM) In accordance with the				
16 17	electronic filing procedures of this Court, service has been effected on the parties above, whose counsel of record is a registered participant of CM/ECF, via electronic service through the CM/ECF system.				
18	I declare that I am employed by the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.				
19	Executed July 30, 2012, at San Diego, California.				
20					
21	Marka Wasting				
22	Martha Martinez				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28 Paul Plevin					
SHLIVAN &	PROOF OF SERVICE 1				

SULLIVAN & CONNAUGHTON LLP

Case 3:12-cv-01465-BEN-BGS Document 13 Filed 07/30/12 Page 5 of 5